# The Academy For Technology And Academics

5639 Highway 701 North Conway, SC 29526

Grades 11–12 Career Center

**Enrollment** 279 Students

**Director** David E Stoudenmire Jr 843–488–6600

Board Chair Will Garland 843–358–8002

Superintendent

Dr. Bobby Nalley, Acting Super 843–488–6700

# 2006 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

# **ABSOLUTE RATING**

EXCELLENT

**Absolute Ratings of Career Centers** 

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory
29 8 1 0 0

# IMPROVEMENT RATING

GOOD

# **ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS**

YES

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the state rating for career and technology centers must be Excellent, Good, Above Average, Average or Below Average.

# SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD						
	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress			
2003	Excellent	Good	Yes			
2004	Excellent	Good	Yes			
2005	Excellent	Excellent	Yes			
2006	Excellent	Good	Yes			

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GRO	OUPS								
	Mastering Core Competencies		Receiving Diplomas			Place in Field			
	This Center		State	This Center		State	This Center		State
	n	%	Center Average%	n	%	Center Average%	n	%	Center Average%
All Students		-7	3-1-1			3.1.			9-11-
	322	94.1%	82.9%	109	90.8%	92.6%	247	98.4%	97.5%
Students with disabilities on	diploma	track							
	2	I/S	71.9%	0	N/A	70.2%	7	100.0%	97.3%
Gender									
Male	127	92.9%	79.4%	44	84.1%	91.3%	113	100.0%	98.5%
Female	195	94.9%	87.1%	65	95.4%	93.9%	130	97.0%	96.4%
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	236	94.5%	87.9%	72	94.4%	95.5%	174	98.3%	98.5%
African American	81	92.6%	76.5%	35	82.9%	88.7%	62	98.4%	95.6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	88.0%	0	N/A	88.2%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Hispanic	2	I/S	81.9%	2	I/S	88.9%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	86.5%	0	N/A	88.9%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Migrant Status									
Migrant									
Non-migrant									
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	81.6%	1	I/S	90.8%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Non-Limited English Proficient	321	94.1%	82.9%	108	90.7%	92.6%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	176	91.5%	78.2%	61	83.6%	89.2%	36	100.0%	
Full-pay meals	146	97.3%	87.5%	48	100.0%	95.1%	207	98.1%	98.2%

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

### DEFINITIONS OF PERFORMANCE RATING TERMS

- •Mastering Core Competencies-The percentage of students enrolled in career and technology courses at the center who earn a 2.0 or above on the final course grade.
- Graduation Rate-The percentage of 12th grade career and technology students who graduate in the spring.
- Placement Rate-The percentage of career and technology completers available for placement over a 3-year period who are actually placed in postsecondary instruction, military services, or employment.

SCHOOL PROFILE			
SOLIO SEL PROPINCIO	Our School	Change from Last Year	Median Career Center
Students (n= 279)			
With disabilities other than speech Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	1.8% 51.3%	Up from 0.6% Up from 41.1%	2.2% 18.3%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	279	Down from 316	650
Students participating in worked-based experiences	86.0%	Down from 100.0%	33.7%
Teachers (n= 23)			
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	39.1% N/AV	Up from 30.4%	25.5% N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%	Down from 13.0%	17.5%
Teachers returning from previous year	72.6%	Down from 80.0%	90.9%
Teacher attendance rate	94.3%	Down from 95.0%	95.5%
Average teacher salary	\$45,305	Up 0.3%	\$44,019
Prof. development days/teacher	22.2 days	Down from 24.4 days	13.2 days
School			
Director's years at Center	7.0	Up from 6.0	4.0
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,522	Up 50.0%	\$2,769
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	52.3%	Down from 58.0%	52.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	58.7%		65.0%
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0% Yes	No change No change	85.3% Yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	12.9%	6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	9.0%	10.2%

# REPORT OF DIRECTOR AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	20	153	90			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	95.0%	75.0%	82.0%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	76.3%	70.1%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	75.0%	83.8%	86.2%			

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{\star}}\xspace$  Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included.